

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vurginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/614,201	07/08/2003	Tadashi Uematsu	116441	6364
7590 12/21/2004		EXAMINER		
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			CUEVAS, PEDRO J	
P.O. BOX 1992	8			
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2834	

DATE MAILED: 12/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/614,201	UEMATSU ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Pedro J. Cuevas	2834			
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above, the maximum statused in the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statused in the period for reply with the set or extended period for reply with the set or exten	ATION.  37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repication.  days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty story period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ill, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>08</u> July 2003.				
·					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the 10)  The drawing(s) filed on 08 July 2003 is Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11)  The oath or declaration is objected to be	e withdrawn from consideration.  on and/or election requirement.  Examiner.  S/are: a) accepted or b) objected on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance the correction is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). b) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	y the Examinor. Note the attached	Office Action of form F 10-132.			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received. ocuments have been received in Ap the priority documents have been re al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/8/03.</li> </ol>		/Mail Date  ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 4,477,766 to Akita et al.

Akita et al. clearly teaches the construction of an apparatus for controlling electric generation for vehicles comprising:

switch means (400) coupled to supply a drive current to display means (column 2, lines 43-47) which indicate a power generating status of said electrical generator, said switch means operable for interrupting said supply of drive current;

current detection means (S) for detecting a level current flow through the switch means;

reference value generating means for determining a reference value (Vref), to be compared with said level of current;

current limiting means (50) for comparing said level of current with said reference value, and for limiting a current supply operation of said switch means in accordance with a result of said comparison;

wherein said reference value generating means:

Application/Control Number: 10/614,201

Art Unit: 2834

Page 3

successively outputs a plurality of reference values, including a first reference value (Vth1) corresponding to a current value which greater than maximum value surge current which flows in said switch means, and a second reference value (Vth2) which corresponds to a current value that is greater than a normal value of current which flows said switch means,

establishes successively shorter respective durations outputting said reference values accordance with respective magnitudes of said reference values,

repetitively outputs a plurality of said reference values succession, fixed repetition period;

said apparatus produces three or more reference values (Abstract) including said first and second reference values, and outputs said first reference value when a maximum value of an initial flow of current through said switch means occurs, and outputs a plurality of said reference values other than said first reference value as values which become for an electrical generator successively smaller in a stepwise manner following outputting of said first reference value (Figure 2)

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,477,766 to Akita et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,825,330 to Walchie.

Page 4

Akita et al. disclose the construction of an apparatus for controlling electric generation for vehicles as disclosed above.

However, it fails to disclose a switch means comprising a MOS (Metal-oxide semiconductor) type of transistor.

Walchie teach the construction of an ultra-fast solid-state power interrupter comprising a MOS (Metal-oxide semiconductor) type of varistors (MOV1, MOV2) for the purpose of protecting Q1 from inductive surges, which occur when the Electronic Switch is opened at nonzero crossing point of the load current.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use the MOS type electronic devices disclosed by Walchie on the apparatus disclosed by Akita et al. for the purpose of protecting a transistor from inductive surges which occur when the a switch is opened at non-zero crossing point of a load current.

5. With regards to claim 5, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to set the predetermined period to 10 milliseconds, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

It would have also been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to set the predetermined period to be greater than 10 milliseconds, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

Application/Control Number: 10/614,201

Art Unit: 2834

Conclusion

Page 5

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. See PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Pedro J. Cuevas whose telephone number is (571) 272-2021. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:30 - 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Darren Schuberg can be reached on (571) 272-2044. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Pedro J. Cuevas

December 14, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

DARREN SCHUBERG